

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/639,551	08/16/2000	Youhong Lu	00-335	3281	
20306	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM	INER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR			PEZZLO, JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO, IL 60606			2662	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	09/639,551	LU, YOUHONG				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	John Pezzlo	2662				
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	Narch 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
	, <del>-</del>					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim12 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 12 lines 2 and 3 are directed to a user device and a first communication link coupled to the first device. The remaining lines of the claim are directed to a hybrid circuit. The problem being that there isn't a connection between the first two lines and the remaining lines of the claim. (The first user device and the hybrid.) Does the hybrid circuit connect to the first user device via the first communication link? (The examiner assumes the answer is yes in order to provide an art rejection.) Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 7-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by I. Marash et al. (US 6,049,607) hereinafter Marash.

Application/Control Number: 09/639,551

Art Unit: 2662

1. Regarding claims 7 and 13 – Marash discloses means for receiving a near end signal and

a far end signal and the far end signal includes an echo of the near end signal, refer to Figures 1

and 5 and column 7 lines 30 to 67 and column 8 lines 1 to 20.

Marash discloses determination means coupled to the near and far end signals for

determining characteristics of the signals such as SNR and beam angle, refer to Figures 1-5 and

column 2 lines 3 to 46 and column 5 lines 62 to 67 and column 6 lines 1 to 7 and column 8 lines

1 to 42.

Marash discloses a filter coupled to the signals and using a predetermined algorithm to

produce an estimate of an echo, refer to Figures 1 and 5 and column 2 lines 14 to 46 and column

7 lines 30 to 67 and column 8 lines 1 to 42.

Marash discloses a subtraction means for subtracting the out the estimate from the near

end signal, refer to Figure 5 callout 508.

Marash discloses a control means coupled to the near and far end signals and

determination means to adjust the operation of the filter based upon the characteristics of the

signals, refer to Figures 1 and 5 and column 7 lines 30 to 67 and column 8 lines 1 to 41.

2. Regarding claim 8 – Marash discloses the control means determines the divergence of the

adaptive filter, refer to Figures 1 and 5 and column 8 lines 1 to 20.

3. Regarding claims 9 and 15 – Marash discloses that the control means selectively

deactivates the filter based on the characteristics of the near and far end signals such as the SNR

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/639,551

Art Unit: 2662

and strong and weak signals, refer to the abstract and column 6 lines 7 to 23 and column 8 lines 1 to 42.

Page 4

- 4. Regarding claims 10 and 14 Marash discloses that the control means selectively freezes the filter based on the characteristics of the near and far end signals, refer to column 6 lines 7 to 23 and column 8 lines 1 to 19.
- 5. Regarding claim 11 Marash discloses that the filter uses a LMS algorithm, refer to Figure 5 and column 2 lines 14 to 33.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- II. Claim12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Betts et al. (US 5,828,657) hereinafter Betts.
- 1. Regarding claim 12 Betts discloses a first user device (DTE), refer to Figure 1 callouts 10 and 30.

Betts discloses a first communication link coupled to the DTE, refer to Figure 1 callouts 11 and 31.

Application/Control Number: 09/639,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 2662

Betts discloses a hybrid circuit coupled to a second communication link, refer to Figure 1, modem and PSTN modem, callouts 100 and 300.

Betts discloses that when the near end signal is transmitted and reaches the hybrid an echo is generated and combined with the far end signal and returned to the near end (the same scenario occurs for the far end signal being transmitted toward the near end), refer to Figures 1 and 6 and column 5 lines 45 to 67 and column 6 lines 1 to 47.

Betts discloses the use of a training sequence which is a data signal not speech and the modem/hybrid circuits (callouts 100 and 300 in Figure 1) utilize the determination means and the data sequence (not speech) to train the coefficients of the adaptive filter, refer to Figures 1-6 and column 3 lines 22 to 65.

Betts discloses an adaptive filter with a predetermined algorithm to produce an estimate of the echo, refer to Figure 6 and column 5 lines 45 to 67 and column 6 lines 1 to 47.

Betts discloses a subtraction means, refer to Figure 6 and column 5 lines 45 to 67 and column 6 lines 1 to 47.

Betts discloses a control means wherein the control means adjusts the operation of the filter based on the characteristics of the near and far end signals, refer to Figure 6 and column 5 lines 45 to 67 and column 6 lines 1 to 47.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/639,551

Art Unit: 2662

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 6

- III. Claims 1-6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marash et al. (US 6,049,607) hereinafter Marash in view of Betts et al. (US 5,828,657).
- 1. Regarding claims 1 and 6 Marash discloses a teleconferencing system which receives near end and far end signals and splits each near end and far end signal into 16 frequency bands and provides a separate echo canceller for each frequency band, refer to Figures 1 and 5 and column 3 lines 55 to 60 and column 7 lines 30 to 67 and column 8 lines 1 to 20. Marash determines whether the adaptive filters of the echo cancellers will converge, refer to column 2 lines 14 to 61 and Figures 1 and 5 and column 8 lines 1 to 41.

Marash does not expressly disclose determining whether the far end and near end signals are representative of modulated signals.

Betts discloses modulated signals using QAM and performing echo cancellation using an adaptive filter, refer to Figure 6 and column 3 lines 1 to 21.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to an ordinary person of skill in the art to combine Marash with Betts to determine whether the far end and near end signals are representative of modulated signals. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Marash discloses modulating the received near end and far end signals in the splitter, refer to Figure 4 and column 6 lines 63 to 67 and column 7 lines 1 to 13, therefore the echo canceller adaptive filter operates on modulated signals. The benefit being that the use of modulation is a

Art Unit: 2662

standard signal processing technique for generating and transmitting electrical signals over a network.

2. Regarding claims 2 and 3 and 16 – Marash discloses 16 frequency bands which operate over the frequency spectrum of the near end and far end signals wherein each echo canceller operates over a different band, utilizing band pass filters, in order to allow the correct frequency band signal to be controlled, refer to Figures 1 and 5 and column 3 lines 55 to 60 and column 7 lines 30 to 67 and column 8 lines 1 to 20.

Marash does not expressly disclose a high pass filter.

Betts discloses the use of a notch filter (band pass filter) used to eliminate a tone signal, which is used to set the coefficients of the adaptive filter, refer to Figure 6 callout 615. A notch filter (band pass filter) is generated from a combination of a low pass and high pass filter.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to combine Marash with Betts so that Marash could use the technique of generating a band pass filter utilizing a combination of a low pass and high pass filter in order to select each frequency band for one of the 16 echo cancellers. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Marash discloses the use of frequency bands and band pass filters (implemented using low pass and high pass filters) would be used to implement the filters. The benefit being that the band pass being easily implemented using low pass and high pass filters.

Application/Control Number: 09/639,551 Page 8

Art Unit: 2662

3. Regarding claim 4 – Marash discloses an adaptive filter echo canceller, which freezes the coefficients of the filter during certain operating conditions, refer to Figure 5 and column 8 lines

1 to 20.

4. Regarding claim 5 - Marash discloses an adaptive filter echo canceller, which deactivates

under certain operating conditions, refer to Figure 5 and column 8 lines 1 to 20.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Zhang et al. (US 6,055,310) discloses a phase reversal tone detector using DSP.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Pezzlo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C.

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Jefferson Building

500 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA.

John Pezzlo

8 January 2005

JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINE